

GENERIC T -ADIC EXPONENTIAL SUMS IN ONE VARIABLE

CHUNLEI LIU, WENXIN LIU, AND CHUANZE NIU

ABSTRACT. The T -adic exponential sum associated to a Laurent polynomial in one variable is studied. An explicit arithmetic polygon is proved to be the generic Newton polygon of the C -function of the T -adic exponential sum. It gives the generic Newton polygon of L -functions of p -power order exponential sums.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let W be the ring scheme of Witt vectors, $\mathbb{F}_q$ the field of characteristic p with q elements, $\mathbb{Z}_q = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and $\mathbb{Q}_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Let $\Delta \supsetneq \{0\}$ be an integral convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and I the set of vertices of Δ different from the origin. Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{u \in \Delta} (a_u x^u, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]) \text{ with } \prod_{u \in I} a_u \neq 0,$$

where $x^u = x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n}$ if $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let T be a variable.

Definition 1.1. *The sum*

$$S_f(k, T) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^\times)^n} (1 + T)^{\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Z}_p}(f(x))} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$$

is call a T -adic exponential sum. And the function

$$L_f(s, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_f(k, T) \frac{s^k}{k}\right) \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]][[s]]$$

is called an L -function of T -adic exponential sums.

We view $L_f(s, T)$ as a power series in the single variable s with coefficients in the T -adic complete field $\mathbb{Q}_p((T))$. Let ζ_{p^m} be a primitive p^m -th root of unity, and $\pi_m = \zeta_{p^m} - 1$. Then $L_f(s, \pi_m)$ is the L -function of the p -power order exponential sums $S_f(k, \pi_m)$ studied by Liu-Wei [LW].

This research is supported by NSFC Grant No. 10671015.

Definition 1.2. *The function*

$$C_f(s, T) = C_f(s, T; \mathbb{F}_q) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -(q^k - 1)^{-n} S_f(k, T) \frac{s^k}{k}\right)$$

is called a C -function of T -adic exponential sums.

We have

$$L_f(s, T) = \prod_{i=0}^n C_f(q^i s, T)^{(-1)^{n-i+1} \binom{n}{i}},$$

and

$$C_f(s, T) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_f(q^j s, T)^{(-1)^{n-1} \binom{n+j-1}{j}}.$$

So the C -function $C_f(s, T)$ and the L -function $L_f(s, T)$ determine each other. From the last identity, one sees that

$$C_f(s, T) \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]][[s]].$$

We also view $C_f(s, T)$ as a power series in the single variable s with coefficients in the T -adic complete field $\mathbb{Q}_p((T))$. The C -function $C_f(s, T)$ was shown to be T -adic entire in s by Liu-Wan [LWn].

Let $C(\Delta)$ be the cone generated by Δ , and $M(\Delta) = M(\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. There is a degree function $\deg$ on $C(\Delta)$ which is $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -linear and takes the values 1 on each co-dimension 1 face not containing 0. For $a \notin C(\Delta)$, we define $\deg(a) = +\infty$.

Definition 1.3. *A convex function on $[0, +\infty]$ which is linear between consecutive integers with initial value 0 is called the infinite Hodge polygon of Δ if its slopes between consecutive integers are the numbers $\deg(a)$, $a \in M(\Delta)$. We denote this polygon by H_{Δ}^{∞} .*

Liu-Wan [LWn] also proved the following.

Lemma 1.4. *We have*

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)(p-1)H_{\Delta}^{\infty},$$

where NP stands for Newton polygon.

Definition 1.5. *The T^a -adic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, T; \mathbb{F}_{p^a})$ is called the absolute Newton polygon of $C_f(s, T; \mathbb{F}_{p^a})$.*

Conjecture 1.6. *If p is sufficiently large, then the absolute T -adic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, T)$ is constant for a generic f . We call it the generic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, T)$.*

Definition 1.7. *The π_m^a -adic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, \pi_m; \mathbb{F}_{p^a})$ is called the absolute Newton polygon of $C_f(s, \pi_m; \mathbb{F}_{p^a})$.*

Combine results of Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky [GKZ], Adolphson-Sperber [AS], Liu-Wei [LW] with Grothendieck specialization lemma [Ka], the absolute Newton polygon of $C_f(s, \pi_m)$ is constant for a generic f . We call it the generic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, \pi_m)$.

Conjecture 1.8. *If p is sufficiently large, then the generic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, \pi_m)$ is independent of m , and coincides with the generic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, T)$.*

The generic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, \pi_m)$ for $m = 1$ was studied by Wan [Wa1, Wa2].

In the rest of this section we assume that $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 1.9. *Let $0 \neq a \in M(\Delta)$. We define*

$$\delta_{\in}(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \{\deg(a)\} = \{\deg(\pi_i)\} \text{ for some } i \text{ with } ia > 0, \deg(i) < \{\deg(a)\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\{\cdot\}$ is the fractional part of a real number. We also define $\delta_{\in}(0) = 0$.

Definition 1.10. *A convex function on $[0, +\infty]$ which is linear between consecutive integers with initial value 0 is called the arithmetic polygon of Δ if its slopes between consecutive integers are the numbers*

$$\varpi_{\Delta}(a) = \lceil (p-1)\deg(a) \rceil - \delta_{\in}(a), a \in M(\Delta),$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the least integer equal or greater than a real number. We denote this polygon by p_{Δ} .

We can prove the following.

Theorem 1.11. *We have*

$$p_{\Delta} \geq (p-1)H_{\Delta}^{\infty}.$$

Moreover, they coincide at the point $\text{Vol}(\Delta)$.

Let D be the least common multiple of the nonzero endpoint(s) of Δ . The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 1.12. *If $p > 3D$, then*

$$T\text{-adic NP of } C_f(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_{\Delta}.$$

Theorem 1.13. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{u \in \Delta} (a_u x^u, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $p > 3D$. Then there is a non-zero polynomial $H(y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[y_u \mid u \in \Delta]$ such that*

$$T\text{-adic NP of } C_f(s, T) = \text{ord}_p(q)p_{\Delta}$$

if and only if $H((a_u)_{u \in \Delta}) \neq 0$.

The above theorem implies Conjecture 1.6 for $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.14. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{u \in \Delta} (a_u x^u, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 3D$, and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta$$

if and only if $H((a_u)_{u \in \Delta}) \neq 0$.

The above theorem implies Conjecture 1.8 for $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that, if $p \nmid D$, then $L(s, \pi_m)$ is a polynomial of degree $p^{m-1}\text{Vol}(\Delta)$ for all $m \geq 1$ by a result of Adolphson-Sperber [AS] and a result of Liu-Wei [LW]. We shall prove the following.

Theorem 1.15. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{u \in \Delta} (a_u x^u, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $p > 3D$. Then*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_m) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}\text{Vol}(\Delta)]$$

with equality holding if and only if $H((a_u)_{u \in \Delta}) \neq 0$.

Zhu [Zh1, Zh2] and Blache-Férard [BF] studied the Newton polygon of the L -function $L_f(s, \pi_m)$ for $m = 1$.

2. ARITHMETIC ESTIMATE

In this section $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}$, A is a finite subset of $M(\Delta) \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)$, and τ is a permutation of A . We shall estimate

$$\sum_{a \in A} [\deg(pa - \tau(a))],$$

where $\deg(i, u) = \deg(i)$.

However, except in the ending paragraph, we assume that $\Delta = [0, d]$, A is a finite subset of $\{1, 2, \dots\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)$.

Write

$$\{x\}' = 1 + x - [x] = \begin{cases} \{x\}, & \text{if } \{x\} \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \{x\} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{a=0}^m (\delta_{<} - \delta_{\in})(a) = \#\{1 \leq a \leq d \mid \{\frac{m}{d}\}' \mid \{\frac{m}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pa}{d}\}'\},$$

where

$$\delta_{<}(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \{\frac{a}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pa}{d}\}' \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that both $\delta_{\in}$ and $\delta_{<}$ have a period d and have initial value 0. So we may assume that $m < d$. We have

$$\sum_{a=0}^m \delta_{\in}(a) = \sum_{a=1}^m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ pi \equiv a(d)}}^{a-1} 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{a=i+1 \\ pi \equiv a(d)}}^m 1 = \#\{1 \leq i < m \mid i < d\{\frac{pi}{d}\} \leq m\}.$$

And, by definition,

$$\sum_{a=0}^m \delta_{<}(a) = \#\{1 \leq a \leq m \mid a < d\{\frac{pa}{d}\}\}.$$

The lemma now follows. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let A, B, C be sets with A finite, and τ a permutation of A . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{a \in A \mid \tau(a) \in B, a \in C\} \\ & \geq \#\{a \in A \mid a \in B, a \in C\} - \#\{a \in A \mid a \notin B, a \notin C\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{a \in A \mid a \in B, \tau(a) \in B, a \in C\} \\ & \geq \#\{a \in A \mid a \in B, a \in C\} - \#\{a \in A \mid a \in B, \tau(a) \notin B\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{a \in A \mid a \notin B, \tau(a) \in B, a \in C\} \\ & \geq \#\{a \in A \mid a \notin B, \tau(a) \in B\} - \#\{a \in A \mid a \notin B, a \notin C\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\#\{a \in A \cap B \mid \tau(a) \notin B\} = \#\{a \in A \setminus B \mid \tau(a) \in B\}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{a \in A \mid \tau(a) \in B, a \in C\} \\ & \geq \#\{a \in A \mid a \in B, a \in C\} - \#\{a \in A \mid a \notin B, a \notin C\}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

For $a \in A$, we define

$$\delta_{<}^\tau(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \{\deg(\tau(a))\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.3. *If $p > 3d$, and*

$$A = (\{1, \dots, m-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)) \cup \{(m, i_0), \dots, (m, i_{l-1})\},$$

then

$$\sum_{a \in A} \delta_{<}^\tau(a) \geq b \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} (\delta_{<} - \delta_{\in})(a) + l(\delta_{<} - \delta_{\in})(m).$$

Proof. First we assume that $l = 0$. We have

$$\sum_{a \in A} \delta_{<}^\tau(a) \geq \#\{a \in A, \{\deg(\tau(a))\}' \leq \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}'\}.$$

Applying the last lemma with

$$B = \{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' \leq \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}'\},$$

and

$$C = \{a \in A, \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}'\},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in A} \delta_{<}^\tau(a) &\geq \#\{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' \leq \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}'\} \\ &\quad - \#\{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' > \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \geq \{p \deg(a)\}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\#\{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' \leq \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}'\} \\ &= b[\frac{m}{d}] + 1 \#\{1 \leq a \leq d\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \mid \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pa}{d}\}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\#\{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' > \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \geq \{p \deg(a)\}'\} \\ &= b[\frac{m}{d}] \#\{d \geq a > d\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \mid \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \geq \{\frac{pa}{d}\}'\}. \\ &= b[\frac{m}{d}] \#\{1 \leq a \leq d\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \mid \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pa}{d}\}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in A} \delta_{<}^\tau(a) &\geq b \#\{1 \leq a \leq d\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \mid \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pa}{d}\}'\} \\ &\geq b \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} (\delta_{<} - \delta_{\in})(a). \end{aligned}$$

Secondly we assume that $\delta_{\in}(m) = 0$. Extend the action of τ trivially from A to $\{1, \dots, m\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)$. By what we just proved,

$$\sum_{a \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)} \delta_{<}^\tau(a) \geq b \sum_{a=0}^m (\delta_{<} - \delta_{\in})(a).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{a \in A} \delta_{<}^\tau(a) \geq b \sum_{a=0}^m (\delta_{<} - \delta_{\in})(a) - (b-l)\delta_{<}(m)$$

$$\geq b \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} (\delta_{<} - \delta_{\in})(a) + l(\delta_{<} - \delta_{\in})(m).$$

Finally we assume that $\delta_{\in}(m) = 1$. We have

$$\sum_{a \in A} \delta_{<}^{\tau}(a) \geq \#\{a \in A, \{\deg(\tau(a))\}' \leq \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}'\}.$$

Applying the last lemma with

$$B = \{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' \leq \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}'\},$$

and

$$C = \{a \in A, \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}'\},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in A} \delta_{<}^{\tau}(a) &\geq \#\{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' \leq \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}'\} \\ &\quad - \#\{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' > \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \geq \{p \deg(a)\}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\#\{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' \leq \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}'\} \\ &= b\left[\frac{m}{d}\right] + 1 \#\{1 \leq a \leq d\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \mid \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pa}{d}\}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\#\{a \in A, \{\deg(a)\}' > \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \geq \{p \deg(a)\}'\} \\ &= b\left[\frac{m}{d}\right] \#\{d \geq a > d\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \mid \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \geq \{\frac{pa}{d}\}'\} + l1_{\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \geq \{\frac{pm}{d}\}'}. \\ &= b\left[\frac{m}{d}\right] \#\{1 \leq a \leq d\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \mid \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pa}{d}\}'\} + l1_{\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \geq \{\frac{pm}{d}\}'}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in A} \delta_{<}^{\tau}(a) &\geq b\#\{1 \leq a \leq d\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \mid \{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pa}{d}\}'\} \\ &\quad - l1_{\{\frac{m-1}{d}\}' \geq \{\frac{pm}{d}\}'}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem is completed. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *If $p > 3d$, A is of cardinality $bm + l$ with $0 \leq l < b$, then*

$$\sum_{a \in A} ([p \deg(a)] - [\deg(a)] + \delta_{<}^{\tau}(a)) \geq bp_{\Delta}(m) + l\varpi(m).$$

Moreover, the strict inequality holds if A is not of the form

$$(\{1, \dots, m-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)) \cup \{(m, i_0), \dots, (m, i_{l-1})\}.$$

Proof. We may assume that A is not of the form

$$(\{1, \dots, m-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)) \cup \{(m, i_0), \dots, (m, i_{l-1})\}.$$

There is an element $a_0 \in A$ with $\deg(a_0) > \deg(m)$. Set $A' = A \setminus \{a_0\}$ and

$$\tau'(a) = \begin{cases} \tau(a), & a \neq \tau^{-1}(a_0), \\ \tau(a_0), & a = \tau^{-1}(a_0). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a \in A} ([p \deg(a)] - [\deg(a)] + \delta_{<}^{\tau}(a)) \\ & > \sum_{a \in A'} ([p \deg(a)] - [\deg(a)] + \delta_{<}^{\tau'}(a)) + \varpi(m). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem now follows by induction. $\square$

We now assume that $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}$, and A is a finite subset of $M(\Delta) \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)$. For an integer $m \geq 1$, we define

$$A_m = \{a \in M(\Delta) \mid \varpi(a) \leq p_{\Delta}(m) - p_{\Delta}(m-1)\}.$$

Theorem 2.5. *If $p > 3D$, then*

$$\sum_{a \in A} [\deg(pa - \tau(a))] \geq bp_{\Delta}(m).$$

Moreover, the strict inequality holds if m is a turning point of p_{Δ} , and $A \neq A_m \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)$.

Proof. We define $\text{sgn}((i, u)) = \text{sgn}(i)$. Write

$$A_i = \{a \in A \mid \text{sgn}(a) = (-1)^i\},$$

and

$$A_{ij} = \{a \in A \mid \text{sgn}(a) = (-1)^i, \text{sgn}(\tau(a)) = (-1)^j\}.$$

Define a new permutation τ_0 as follows:

- τ_0 is identity on A_0 .
- $\tau_0 = \tau$ on A_{11} and A_{22} .
- τ_0 maps $A_1 \setminus A_{11}$ to $A_1 \setminus \tau(A_1)$.
- τ_0 maps $A_2 \setminus A_{22}$ to $A_2 \setminus \tau(A_2)$.

We have

$$\sum_{a \in A} [\deg(pa - \tau(a))] \geq \sum_{a \in A} ([p \deg(a)] - [\deg(\tau_0(a))] + 1_{\{\deg(\tau_0(a))\}' < \{p \deg(a)\}'}).$$

The theorem now follows the last one. $\square$

3. THE T -ADIC DWORK THEORY

In this section we review the T -adic analogue of Dwork theory on exponential sums.

Let

$$E(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{p^i}}{p^i}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \lambda_i t^i \in 1 + t\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$$

be the p -adic Artin-Hasse exponential series. Define a new T -adic uniformizer π of $\mathbb{Q}_p((T))$ by the formula $E(\pi) = 1 + T$. Let $\pi^{1/D}$ be a fixed D -th root of π . Let

$$L = \left\{ \sum_{i \in M(\Delta)} c_i \pi^{\deg(i)} x^i : c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{1/D}]] \right\}.$$

Let $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ be the Teichmüller lifting. One can show that the series

$$E_f(x) := \prod_{a_i \neq 0} E(\pi \hat{a}_i x^i) \in L.$$

Note that the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_q$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ can act on L but keeping $\pi^{1/D}$ as well as the variable x fixed. Let σ be the Frobenius element in the Galois group such that $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^p$ if ζ is a $(q-1)$ -th root of unity. Let Ψ_p be the operator on L defined by the formula

$$\Psi_p\left(\sum_{i \in M(\Delta)} c_i x^i\right) = \sum_{i \in M(\Delta)} c_{pi} x^i.$$

Then $\Psi := \sigma^{-1} \circ \Psi_p \circ E_f$ acts on the T -adic Banach module

$$B = \left\{ \sum_{i \in M(\Delta)} c_i \pi^{\deg(i)} x^i \in L, \text{ord}_T(c_i) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ if } \deg(i) \rightarrow +\infty \right\}.$$

We call it Dwork's T -adic semi-linear operator because it is semi-linear over $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]]$.

Let $b = \log_p q$. Then the b -iterate Ψ^b is linear over $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{1/D}]]$, since

$$\Psi^b = \Psi_p^b \circ \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} E_f^{\sigma^i}(x^{p^i}).$$

One can show that Ψ is completely continuous in the sense of Serre [Se]. So $\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]])$ and $\det(1 - \Psi s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]])$ are well-defined.

We now state the T -adic Dwork trace formula [LWn].

Theorem 3.1. *We have*

$$C_f(s, T) = \det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]]).$$

4. THE DWORK SEMI-LINEAR OPERATOR

Write

$$E_f(x) = \sum_{i \in M(\Delta)} \gamma_i x^i,$$

and

$$\det(1 - \Psi s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]]) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^i c_i s^i.$$

Let $O(\pi^\alpha)$ denotes any element of π -adic order $\geq \alpha$. In this section we prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $p > 3D$. Then*

$$\text{ord}_\pi(c_{bm}) \geq bp_\Delta(m).$$

Moreover, if $m < \text{Vol}(\Delta)$ is a turning point of p_Δ , then

$$c_{bm} = \pm \text{Norm}(\det(\gamma_{pi-j})_{i,j \in A_m}) + O(\pi^{bp_\Delta(m)+1/D}),$$

where Norm is the norm map from $\mathbb{Q}_q(\pi^{1/D})$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p(\pi^{1/D})$.

Proof. Fix a normal basis $\bar{\xi}_u$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}/(b)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let ξ_u be their Terchmüller lift of $\bar{\xi}_u$. Then ξ_u , $u \in \mathbb{Z}/(b)$ is a normal basis of $\mathbb{Q}_q$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and σ acts on the basis ξ_u , $u \in \mathbb{Z}/(b)$ as a permutation. Let $(\gamma_{(i,u),(j,\omega)})_{i,j \in M(\Delta), 1 \leq u, \omega \leq b}$ be the matrix of Ψ on $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi^{1/D})$ with respect to the basis $\{\xi_u x^i\}_{i \in M(\Delta), 1 \leq u \leq b}$. Then

$$c_{bm} = \sum_A \det((\gamma_{(i,u),(j,\omega)})_{(i,u),(j,\omega) \in A}),$$

where A runs over all subsets of $M(\Delta) \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)$ with cardinality bm . One can show that

$$\det(\gamma_{i,j})_{i,j \in A_m \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)} = \pm \text{Norm}(\det((\gamma_{pi-j})_{i,j \in A_m})).$$

Therefore the theorem follows from the following. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A \subset M(\Delta) \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)$ be a subset of cardinality bm . If $p > 3D$, then*

$$\text{ord}_T(\det(\gamma_{(i,u),(j,\omega)})_{(i,u),(j,\omega) \in A}) \geq bp_\Delta(m).$$

Moreover, if $m < \text{Vol}(\Delta)$ is a turning point of p_Δ , and $A \neq A_m \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)$, then the strict inequality holds.

Proof. one can show that $\gamma_i = O(\pi^{\lceil \deg(i) \rceil})$. And, from the equality

$$(\xi_u \gamma_{pr-l})^{\sigma^{-1}} = \sum_{\omega=1}^b \gamma_{(r,w),(l,u)} \xi_\omega,$$

we infer that

$$\gamma_{i,j} = O(\pi^{\lceil \deg(pi-j) \rceil}).$$

So we have

$$\sum_{a \in A} \text{ord}_\pi(\gamma_{a, \tau(a)}) \geq \sum_{a \in A} \lceil \deg(pa - \tau(a)) \rceil \geq bp_\Delta(m).$$

Moreover, if m is a turning point of p_Δ , and $A \neq A_m \times \mathbb{Z}/(b)$, then the strict inequality holds. $\square$

5. THE HASSE POLYNOMIAL

In this section we study $\det(\gamma_{pi-j})_{i,j \in A_m}$.

Definition 5.1. For each positive integer m , we define S_m^0 to be the set of permutations τ of A_m satisfying $\tau(0) = 0$, and

$$\frac{\tau(a)}{d(\text{sgn}(a))} \geq \deg(pa) - \lceil \deg(pa) - \deg(n) \rceil, \quad a \neq 0,$$

where n is the element of maximal degree in $A_m \cap (\text{sgn}(a)\mathbb{N})$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $p > 3D$, $m < \text{Vol}(\Delta)$ a turning point of p_Δ , and τ a permutation of A_m . Then

$$\sum_{a \in A_m} \lceil \deg(pa - \tau(a)) \rceil \geq p_\Delta(m),$$

with equality holding if and only if $\tau \in S_m^0$.

Proof. We assume that $M(\Delta) = \mathbb{N}$. The other cases can be proved similarly. In this case, $\text{sgn}(a) = +1$ if $a \neq 0$, and the element n of maximal degree in $A_m \cap \text{sgn}(a)\mathbb{N}$ is $m - 1$. Let d be the nonzero endpoint of Δ . For $a = 0$, we have

$$\lceil \deg(pa - \tau(a)) \rceil \geq 0$$

with equality holding if and only if $\tau(a) = 0$. For $a \neq 0$, we have

$$\lceil \frac{pa - \tau(a)}{d} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{pa - n}{d} \rceil$$

with equality holding if and only if

$$\frac{\tau(a)}{d} \geq \frac{pa}{d} - \lceil \frac{pa - n}{d} \rceil.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{a \in A_m} \lceil \deg(pa - \tau(a)) \rceil \geq \sum_{a=1}^n \lceil \deg(pa - n) \rceil = p_\Delta(m)$$

with equality holding if and only if $\tau \in S_m^0$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. We have

$$\gamma_i = \pi^{\lceil \deg(i) \rceil} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{j \in \Delta} j n_j = i \\ \sum_{j \in \Delta} n_j = \lceil \deg(i) \rceil}} \prod_{j \in \Delta} \lambda_{n_j} \hat{a}_j^{n_j} + O(\pi^{\lceil \deg(i) \rceil + 1}).$$

Proof. We have

$$\gamma_i = \sum_{\substack{j \in \Delta \\ \sum n_j = i, n_j \geq 0}} \pi^{\sum_{j \in \Delta} n_j} \prod_{j \in \Delta} \lambda_{n_j} \hat{a}_j^{n_j}.$$

We also have that

$$\sum_{j \in \Delta} n_j \geq \lceil \deg(i) \rceil \text{ if } \sum_{j \in \Delta} j n_j = i.$$

The lemma now follows. $\square$

Definition 5.4. For each positive integer m , we define

$$H_m(y) = \sum_{\tau \in S_m^0} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{i \in A_m} \sum_{\substack{j \in \Delta \\ \sum n_j = pi - \tau(i) \\ \sum_{j \in \Delta} n_j = \lceil \deg(pi - \tau(i)) \rceil}} \prod_{j \in \Delta} \lambda_{n_j} y_j^{n_j} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[y_j \mid j \in \Delta].$$

Theorem 5.5. Let $p > 3D$, and $m < \text{Vol}(\Delta)$ a turning point of p_Δ . Then

$$\det(\gamma_{pi-j})_{i,j \in A_m} = H_m((\hat{a}_j)_{j \in \Delta}) \pi^{p\Delta(m)} + O(\pi^{p\Delta(m)+1/D}).$$

Proof. Let S_m be the set of permutations of A_m . We have

$$\det(\gamma_{pi-j})_{i,j \in A_m} = \sum_{\tau \in S_m} \prod_{i \in A_m} \gamma_{pi-\tau(i)}.$$

The theorem now follows from the last two lemmas. $\square$

Definition 5.6. The reduction of H_m modulo p is denoted as $\overline{H}_m$, and is called the Hasse polynomial of Δ at m .

Theorem 5.7. If $p > 3D$, and $m < \text{Vol}(\Delta)$ is a turning point of p_Δ , then $\overline{H}_m$ is non-zero.

Proof. Define $\deg(y_j) = |j|$. Then

$$\prod_{i \in A_m} \sum_{\substack{j \in \Delta \\ \sum n_j = pi - \tau(i) \\ \sum_{j \in \Delta} n_j = \lceil \deg(pi - \tau(i)) \rceil}} \prod_{j \in \Delta} \lambda_{n_j} y_j^{n_j}$$

has degree

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in A_m} |pi - \tau(i)| &= \sum_{i \in A_m} \text{sgn}(i)(pi - \tau(i)) \\ &= p \sum_{i \in A_m} \text{sgn}(i)i - \sum_{i \in A_m} \text{sgn}(i)\tau(i) \\ &\geq p \sum_{i \in A_m} \text{sgn}(i)i - \sum_{i \in A_m} \text{sgn}(\tau(i))\tau(i) \\ &\geq (p-1) \sum_{i \in A_m} \text{sgn}(i)i, \end{aligned}$$

with equality holding if and only if τ preserves the sign. Therefore it suffices to show that the reduction of

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_m^1} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{i \in A_m} \sum_{\substack{j \in \Delta \\ \sum_{j \in \Delta} j n_j = p i - \tau(i) \\ \sum_{j \in \Delta} n_j = \lceil \deg(p i - \tau(i)) \rceil}} \prod_{j \in \Delta} \lambda_{n_j} y_j^{n_j},$$

where S_m^1 consists of the sign-preserving permutations of S_m^0 , is nonzero. One can prove this by the maximal-monomial-locating technique of Zhu [Zh1], as was used by Blache-Férard [BF]. $\square$

Definition 5.8. We define $H = \prod_m \overline{H}_m$, where the product is over all turning points $m < \text{Vol}(\Delta)$ of p_Δ .

Theorem 5.9. If $p > 3D$, then H is non-zero.

Proof. This follows from the last theorem. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove the main theorems of this paper.

Lemma 6.1. The Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^b s^b \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]])$ coincides with that of $\det(1 - \Psi s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]])$.

Proof. Note that

$$\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]]) = \text{Norm}(\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]]),$$

where Norm is the norm map from $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]]$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]]$. The lemma now follows from the equality

$$\prod_{\zeta^b=1} \det(1 - \Psi \zeta s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]]) = \det(1 - \Psi^b s^b \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]]).$$

$\square$

Theorem 6.2. The T -adic Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]])$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(m, \text{ord}_T(c_{bm})), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Proof. By the last lemma, the T -adic Newton polygon of the power series $\det(1 - \Psi^b s^b \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]])$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(i, \text{ord}_T(c_i)), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

It is clear that $(i, \text{ord}_T(c_i))$ is not a vertex of that polygon if $b \nmid i$. So that Newton polygon is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(bm, \text{ord}_T(c_{bm})), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

It follows that the T -adic Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{D}}]])$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(m, \text{ord}_T(c_{bm})), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

□

We now prove Theorem 1.12, which says that

$$T\text{-adic NP of } C_f(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta \text{ if } p > 3D.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Combine the last theorem with the T -adic Dwork's trace formula, we see that the T -adic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, T)$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(m, \text{ord}_T(c_{bm})), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots.$$

The theorem now follows from the estimate

$$\text{ord}_\pi(c_{bm}) \geq bp_\Delta(m).$$

□

We now prove Theorem 1.11, which says that

$$p_\Delta \geq (p-1)H_\Delta^\infty$$

with equality holding at the point $\text{Vol}(\Delta)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. We assume that $\Delta = [0, d]$. The other cases can be proved similarly. It suffices to show that

$$p_\Delta \geq (p-1)H_\Delta^\infty \text{ on } [0, d]$$

with equality holding at the point d . Let $0 < m \leq d$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} p_\Delta(m) &= \sum_{0 \leq a < m} (\lceil \frac{(p-1)a}{d} \rceil - \delta_\infty(a)) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq a < m} (\lceil \frac{pa}{d} \rceil - \lceil \frac{a}{d} \rceil + \delta_<(a) - \delta_\infty(a)) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq a < m} (\lceil \frac{pa}{d} \rceil - 1) + \sum_{1 \leq a < m} (\delta_< - \delta_\infty)(a) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq a < m} [\frac{pa}{d}] + \sum_{1 \leq a < m} (\delta_< - \delta_\infty)(a) \\ &= p \sum_{1 \leq a < m} \frac{a}{d} - \sum_{1 \leq a < m} \{\frac{pa}{d}\} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a < m \\ d\{\frac{pa}{d}\} \geq m}} 1 \\ &= (p-1)H_\Delta^\infty(m) + \sum_{1 \leq a < m} \frac{a}{d} - \sum_{1 \leq a < m} \{\frac{pa}{d}\} + \#\{1 \leq a < m \mid d\{\frac{pa}{d}\} \geq m\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$p_\Delta(d) = (p-1)H_\Delta^\infty(d).$$

Note that

$$\sum_{1 \leq a < m} \frac{a}{d} - \sum_{1 \leq a < m} \{\frac{pa}{d}\} + \#\{1 \leq a < m \mid d\{\frac{pa}{d}\} \geq m\}$$

$$\geq \sum_{1 \leq a < m} \frac{a}{d} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a < m \\ d\{\frac{pa}{d}\} < m}} \left\{ \frac{pa}{d} \right\} \geq 0.$$

It follows that

$$p_{\Delta}(m) \geq (p-1)H_{\Delta}^{\infty}(m).$$

□

Theorem 6.3. *Let $A(s, T)$ be a T -adic entrie series in s with unitary constant term. If $0 \neq |t|_p < 1$, then*

$$t - \text{adic NP of } A(s, t) \geq T - \text{adic NP of } A(s, T),$$

where NP is the short for Newton polygon. Moreover, the equality holds for one t if and only if it holds for all t .

Proof. Write

$$A(s, T) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(T) s^i.$$

The inequality follows from the fact that $a_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[T]]$. Moreover,

$$t - \text{adic NP of } A(s, t) = T - \text{adic NP of } A(s, T)$$

if and only if

$$a_i(T) \in T^e \mathbb{Z}_q[[T]]^{\times}$$

for every turning point (i, e) of the T -adic Newton polygon of $A(s, T)$. It follows that the equality holds for one t iff it holds for all t . □

Theorem 6.4. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{u \in \Delta} (a_u x^u, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $p > 3D$. If the equality*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q) p_{\Delta}$$

for one $m \geq 1$, then it holds for all $m \geq 1$, and we have

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, T) = \text{ord}_p(q) p_{\Delta}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorems 4.1 and 6.3. □

Theorem 6.5. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{u \in \Delta} (a_u x^u, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q) p_{\Delta}$$

if and only if

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q) p_{\Delta} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1} \text{Vol}(\Delta)].$$

Proof. Assume that $L_f(s, \pi_m) = \prod_{i=1}^{p^{m-1}d} (1 - \beta_i s)$. Then

$$C_f(s, \pi_m) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_f(q^j s, \pi_m) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{p^{m-1}d} (1 - \beta_i q^j s).$$

Therefore the slopes of the q -adic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, \pi_m)$ are the numbers

$$j + \text{ord}_q(\beta_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p^{m-1} \text{Vol}(\Delta), j = 0, 1, \dots.$$

One can show that the slopes of p_Δ are the numbers

$$j(p-1) + p_\Delta(i) - p_\Delta(i-1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p^{m-1} \text{Vol}(\Delta), j = 0, 1, \dots.$$

It follows that

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta$$

if and only if

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1} \text{Vol}(\Delta)].$$

□

We now prove Theorems 1.13, 1.14 and 1.15. By the above theorems, it suffices to prove the following.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{u \in \Delta} (a_u x^u, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $p > 3D$. Then*

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_1) = \text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta \text{ on } [0, \text{Vol}(\Delta)]$$

if and only if $H((a_u)_{u \in \Delta}) \neq 0$.

Proof. It is known that the q -adic Newton polygon of $L_f(s, \pi_1)$ coincides with H_Δ^∞ at the point $\text{Vol}(\Delta)$. By Theorem 1.11, p_Δ coincide with $(p-1)H_\Delta^\infty$ at the point $\text{Vol}(\Delta)$. It follows that the π_1 -adic Newton polygon of $L_f(s, \pi_1)$ coincides with $\text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta$ at the point $\text{Vol}(\Delta)$. Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_1) = \text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta \text{ on } [0, \text{Vol}(\Delta) - 1]$$

if and only if $H((a_u)_{u \in \Delta}) \neq 0$.

From the identity

$$C_f(s, \pi_1) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_f(q^j s, \pi_1),$$

and the fact the q -adic orders of the reciprocal roots of $L_f(s, \pi_1)$ are no greater than 1, we infer that

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_1) = \pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, \pi_1) \text{ on } [0, \text{Vol}(\Delta) - 1].$$

Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, \pi_1) = \text{ord}_p(q)p_\Delta \text{ on } [0, \text{Vol}(\Delta) - 1]$$

if and only if $H((a_u)_{u \in \Delta}) \neq 0$. The theorem now follows from the T -adic Dwork trace formula and Theorems 4.1 and 5.5. □

REFERENCES

- [AS] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, Exponential sums and Newton polyhedra: cohomology and estimates, *Ann. Math.*, 130 (1989), 367-406.
- [BF] R. Blache and E. Férard, Newton stratification for polynomials: the open stratum, *J. Number Theory*, 123(2007), 456-472.
- [GKZ] I.M. Gelfand, M.M. Kapranov and A.V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- [Ka] N. Katz, Slope filtration of F -crystals, *Astérisque*, 63(1979), 113-164.
- [LW] C. Liu and D. Wei, The L -functions of Witt coverings, *Math. Z.*, 255 (2007), 95-115.
- [LWn] C. Liu and D. Wan, T -adic exponential sums, *Algebra & Number theory*, to appear, arXiv: 0802.2589.
- [Se] J-P. Serre, Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p -adiques, *Publ. Math., IHES.*, 12(1962), 69-85.
- [Wa1] D. Wan, Newton polygons of zeta functions and L -functions, *Ann. Math.*, 137 (1993), 247-293.
- [Wa2] D. Wan, Variation of p -adic Newton polygons for L -functions of exponential sums, *Asian J. Math.*, Vol 8, 3(2004), 427-474.
- [Zh1] J. H. Zhu, p -adic variation of L functions of one variable exponential sums, I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 125 (2003), 669-690.
- [Zh2] J. H. Zhu, Asymptotic variation of L functions of one-variable exponential sums, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 572 (2004), 219-233. 1529-1550.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240,
P.R. CHINA, E-MAIL: CLLIU@SJTU.EDU.CN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875,
P.R. CHINA, E-MAIL: WENXIN8210@MAIL.BNU.EDU.CN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875,
P.R. CHINA, E-MAIL: CZNIUBNU@YAHOO.CN